

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
FRIDAY, MARCH 24, 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- S059064      Jeffrey Lane et al., Appellants  
                         v.  
                         Hughes Aircraft Company, Respondent  
                         The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above cause  
                         is hereby extended to and including June 2, 2000, or the date upon  
                         which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.
- S084742      In re Jose Diaz  
                         on  
                         Habeas Corpus  
                         Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above entitled  
                         petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.
- 2nd Dist.      Oxnard Police Department, Petitioner  
B139554                           v.  
Div. 6                           Ventura County Superior Court, Respondent  
S086765                           Amador Garcia, Real Party in Interest  
                         Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
- 6th Dist.      Mary C. Salmeri, Petitioner  
H021195                           v.  
S086947                           Monterey County Superior Court, Respondent  
                         Nino Balastreri et al., Real Parties in Interest  
                         Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

- A084321/S085402      People v. Cleve Ryan III – April 24, 2000.
- B118891/S085410      People v. Marcos Trevino – April 25, 2000.
- B120913/S085398      People v. Richard Nieto et al. – April 25, 2000.
- B123122/S085390      Remy Doega et al. v. Cartel Marketing Incorporated et al. – April 24, 2000.

B137261/S085412 In re Edward Nunez on Habeas Corpus – April 25, 2000.

C029754/S085487 Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association v. Rene Jennet – April 25, 2000.

D030556/S085323 People v. Brian Kelley – April 21, 2000.

D030958/S085449 People v. Marquiz Davis – April 25, 2000.

E022771/S085349 People v. Anthony Anniversary Edwards – April 21, 2000.

H018424/S085471 People v. Joel Robert Tobias – April 21, 2000.

H018750/S085072 People v. Corey Edemond Holmes – April 24, 2000.

S018768/S085387 People v. Michael Lynn Hughes – April 24, 2000.

H019027/S085343 In re Juan M.; People v. Juan M. – April 21, 2000.

S018033 People, Respondent  
v.  
Prentice Juan Snow, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 22, 2000.

S029550 People, Respondent  
v.  
Duan Holloway, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 22, 2000.

S032736 People, Respondent  
v.  
Maurice Boyette, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including May 22, 2000.

S042698 People, Respondent

v.

Robert J. Jurado, Jr., Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including May 15, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S047867 People, Respondent

v.

Lester Wayne Virgil, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including May 23, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S077757 In re Osbun Walton

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply is extended 30 days after the informal response is filed with this court.

S081661 People, Respondent

v.

Mary Lucia Mazurette, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's brief on the merits is extended to and including April 17, 2000.

No further extensions will be contemplated.

S081900 Golden Gateway Center, Appellant

v.

Golden Gateway Tenants Association, Respondent

On application of appellant Golden Gateway Center and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file

appellant's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including May 22, 2000.

S021683 People, Respondent

v.

Ronald Anthony Jones, Appellant

The "Application for release of files, records and any confidential materials" submitted by the Federal Public Defender as federal counsel for Ronald Anthony Jones, and filed in this court on January 13, 2000, is granted. After reviewing the files, the court has determined that it possesses no material that counsel for Jones was prohibited from reviewing or copying during trial or later proceedings. Accordingly, the clerk of this court is directed to allow counsel for Jones to review or copy all materials contained in its records, including any materials designated as confidential or under seal in this appeal (S021683), or in the related case of *In re Jones*, S065479.

Counsel will supply the personnel and equipment necessary to undertake this review and copying of the records, which shall occur on the premises of this court.

S081555 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys  
For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on September 10, 1999, effective September 27, 1999, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the name of Kathleen Heirlih Casey.

S085109 In re **Edward Deane Donovan** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Edward Deane Donovan**, State Bar No. 145981, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S085110 In re **David Lansing Chapman** on Discipline

It is ordered that **David Lansing Chapman**, State Bar No. 60783, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed December 14, 1999. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, unless he has previously done so as recommended by the Hearing Department in its Order Approving Stipulation filed December 14, 1999. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with section 6086.10 of the Business and Professions Code and payable in accordance with section 6140.7 of the Business and Professions Code (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S085111 In re **Douglas Joseph Domino** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Douglas Joseph Domino**, State Bar No. **159940**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 75 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed December 16, 1999. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

S085112 In re **Mark Irvin Blankenship** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mark Irvin Blankenship**, State Bar No. 130506, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for six months. He is also

ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation executed on November 22, 1999, as modified by its order filed December 17, 1999. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S085210 In re **William Ellison King** on Discipline

It is ordered that **William Ellison King**, State Bar No. 127648, be suspended from the practice of law for one and one-half years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for six months. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed November 23, 1999. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S086532 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Seth Jordan Kelsey**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Seth Jordan Kelsey, #61696** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending

against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S086534 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Byron Peter Halling**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Byron Peter Halling, #82281** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S086570 In re **Patricia Ann Lynch** on Reinstatement

Upon petition for reinstatement and recommendation of the State Bar of California, it is ordered that **Patricia Ann Lynch, former State Bar No. 101711**, be reinstated as a member of the State Bar of California upon payment of the fees and taking the oath required by law.

108033-00 THE HONORABLE DANIEL M. HANLON, Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four, is hereby assigned to assist the Supreme Court of California, as a justice thereof, on March 24, 2000, and until completion and disposition of all causes and matters submitted pursuant to this assignment including, if necessary by reason of a vacancy or disqualification of a Supreme Court justice, petitions for rehearing arising out of such causes and matters.

Dated: March 24, 2000

Ronald M. George  
Chief Justice of California and  
Chairperson of the Judicial Council